

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,410	01/18/2005	Axel Ullrich	2923-679	7025
6449 7590 94042010 ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON. DC 20005			EXAMINER	
			REDDIG, PETER J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH		1642	•	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/521,410 ULLRICH ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter J. Reddig	1642	

ontinuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. \(\) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a happlication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendmen application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in corfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must periods:	t, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the impliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from	the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 3 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the correspondin under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	g amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37	more the filed within two manths of the date of
2. In the Notice of Appeal was filed on A prier in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41. Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set for a compliance of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set for a compliance of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set for a compliance with a compliance	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filin	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	and all the state of the state
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mat appeal; and/or	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of f	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of	f Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a s non-allowable claim(s)	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	b) will be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 10.12.14.17-19.35 and 36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-9.11.13 and 20-34.	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections una showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier press	der appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claim REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ns after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the app Claims 10, 12, 14, 17-19 35, and 36 remain rejected 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraphs.	

requirement for the reasons of record.

Applicants argue that solely in the interest of expediting prosecution, Applicants have amended claim 10 to clarify its scope, and have added new claims 37 and 38. Written description support for new claims 37 and 38 can be found at least in the immediate prior version of claim 10. No new matter is added by means of these amendments.

Applicants aruge that these claim amendments remove the embodiments that the Examiner based his rejection on, Further, Applicants note that the presence of inoperative embodiments within the scope of the claim does not necessarily render a claim nonenabled. Rather, such a claim is enabled as long as one of skill in the art would be able to determine which embodiments were operative and which were inoperative without undue experimentation. Therefore, because the embodiments used by the Examiner as the basis for the rejection have been removed, and because one of skill in the art would be able to determine which, if any, remaining embodiments are inoperative, the presently amended claims are enabled and this rejection should be withdrawn.

Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered, but have not been found persuasive because the amendment has not been entered and will not be entered for the reasons set forth above, thereforethe claims have not been amended and the rejections

/Peter J Reddig/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1642

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100326

Continuation of 3, NOTE: Proposed new claims 37 and 38 encompass unelected species that would require further search consideration and/or search.